

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/767,108 | 01/22/2001 | James Brian Vrotacoe | 600.1118 | 9101 |
| 23280 | 7590 01/02/2003 | | | |
| DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC | | | EXAMINER | |
| | ENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR RK, NY 10018 | | NGUYEN, ANTHONY H | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2854 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003 | , |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/767,108 | VROTACOE, JAMES BRIAN | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Anthony H Nguyen , | 2854 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 C | October 2002 . | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-19</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | · election requirement | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep | ·- · | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | ··· | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicati | on No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8 | 5) Notice of Informal F | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| I.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/767,108

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fellows (US 4,030,415).

Fellows teaches a printing cylinder having structure which meets the structure as broadly recited. Fellows teaches a cylinder body 10 having an outer surface which includes at least one hole 10c and a supply line 16 in the cylinder including a fluid flow restrictor 16e for supplying fluid to the at least one hole which is covered by an axially removable printing sleeve 17 as shown in Figs. 1 and 4 of Fellows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) a patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 and 7-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Fellows (US 4,030,415) in view of Kay et al. (US 4,398,563).

Fellows teaches a printing cylinder for accepting a printing sleeve and a method removing a printing sleeve having substantially the structure and method as broadly claimed.

See the explanation of Fellows above. Fellows fails to clearly teach the flow restrictor which

Application/Control Number: 09/767,108

Art Unit: 2854

, *#*

alters the fluid flow. However, Kay et al. teaches a fluid flow restrictor 10 to alter fluid flow to as least one holes as shown in Figs. 1-7. Therefore, in view of the teaching of Kay et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the printing cylinder of Fellows by providing a fluid flow restrictor as taught by Kay et al. to permit more precise control the fluid flow in the cylinder for mounting or replacing a printing sleeve. With respect to claims 11, the provision of a plurality of a single element taught by the prior art has long been held to be an obvious expedient. With respect to claims 16-19, the combination of Fellows and Kay et al. renders obvious the steps as recited in the claims since the combination teaches the steps of applying fluid pressure to an inside of a printing sleeve, sliding the printing sleeve on the printing cylinder and automatically restricting fluid flow.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed on October 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive of any error in view of the new ground(s) of rejections.

Conclusion

As presently advised it appears that claim 6 avoids the prior art but are objected to as depending from a rejected claim. This claim if properly rewritten in independent form would be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,108

Art Unit: 2854

• The patents to Gelbart, Hoage et al., Rossini, and Thompson are cited to show other 'structures and methods having obvious similarities to the claimed structure and method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2869. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld, can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Nguyen

12/26/02

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Duthony Nguyer